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Abstract

This review article comprehends the efficient techniques of removal of Phosphorous from domestic and industrial waste water which are cost effective. The removal of excess of phosphorous during wastewater treatment is vital for ensuring and protecting the ecosystem. A major source of Phosphorus is from agricultural fertilizer dissolution, manure and organic wastes from sewages and industrial effluents. The excess of the element in water, speed up eutrophication. Hence controlling phosphorous concentration from industrial and municipal waste water prevents eutrophication of surface water. The raw waste water phosphorus level is 200-300mg/l and discharge limit are 15mg/l. Excess concentration of phosphorous causes many water quality problems including increased purification costs, decreased recreational, loss of livestock and the possible lethal effect of algal toxins on drinking water. The removal of P from wastewater can be performed using physico-chemical methods, biological and Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal (EBPR) method, and/or combinations of both. The Physico chemical method deals with precipitation, coagulation, and flocculation. The most common chemical P-removal options involve dosing metal salts to either pre-treated influent or to the conventional activated sludge (CAS) reactors. P removal rates are typically proportional to the mass of chemical added. The chemical adsorptive media are manufactured from natural products, such as apatite, bauxite, lime stone, industrial wastes such as, fly ash, steel slud or ochre. Phosphate removal rate found to be 91% when Polonite is used to treat municipal wastewater over a period of 1 year with a P-sorption capacity of 120 g/kg. Other chemical precipitation of soluble phosphorus, use metal salts i.e., aluminium based chemical product e.g., Alum, iron based chemical products e.g., ferric chloride. The Enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) technique is the modification of biological process that incorporates phosphorus in the cellular substance. In this process P can be removed without addition of chemicals. In EBPR technique, Polyphosphate-accumulating organisms (PAO) such as Candidatus Accumulibacter and Tetrasphaera were both important in all plants and contained together 24–70% of the total Phosphorus. The contribution of Tetrasphaera to the total P-removal was higher than that of Candidatus Accumulibacter. This paper deals with the cost effective and environmentally sustainable techniques of phosphorous removal from small scale domestic and industrial wastewater.
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Introduction

With the growing population the demand for Phosphorus is increasing strongly along with the necessities of fertiliser for agriculture. Whereas global P reserves are limited, present in only few countries, and getting increasingly more difficult to access (Cordell, D. et. al, 2009, 2011). Given the vital importance of P as a fertilizer in food production, its global scarcity is likely to become one of the greatest challenges of the 21st century. Rocks are the main abiotic reservoir of phosphates, i.e., phosphorite or rock phosphate contains 15 to 20% phosphate bearing minerals. Along with Igneous and metamorphic rocks, the major source of phosphate bearing
mineral is fluorapatite (Ca₅(PO₄)₃F) or hydroxyapatite (Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH). On the other hand, the anthropogenic release of P is a major threat to the environment as it is a main driver of eutrophication, with major contributions from agriculture and untreated sewage disposal and liquid urban waste (domestic and industrial) (Conley, DJ, et al., 2009). Efficient removal of P from wastewater can prevent eutrophication in sensitive water bodies and the removed P can be applied as fertilizer (Blackall, L.L. et al., 2002).

The increased concentration of phosphorus develops the algae blooms in surface water bodies. 70% to 90% of phosphorus in drain liquids is either orthophosphate or polyphosphates. In order to prevent negative impact of phosphorus on the environment the limits on total P discharges have set to 1 mg/l or 2 mg/l. The lower limit less than 0.5 mg/l concentration inhibits or even blocks the growth of algae. Waste water coming from the detergent plants are a significant source of phosphorus in nearby river water, so restriction in use of phosphorus in detergent can reduce its impact. Also, reduction in amount of sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP) used in detergent builders and as an alternative non-phosphate-based builders, such as Zeolite can be switched to.

All polyphosphate forms gradually hydrolyze in aqueous solution and revert to the orthophosphate form (Mostafa, 2012). According to Prigent (2012), orthophosphate (PO₄-P) is the most abundant form in domestic wastewater. It represents 60–85% of total phosphorus due to the hydrolysis of polyphosphates and organic phosphates.

This paper presents a compendium of techniques for removal of phosphorus from wastewater.

Phosphates can be removed by physico chemical methods and biological method or by modifying the biological process that incorporates phosphorus in the cellular substance. Combinations of these two methods and some other specific technologies are also used for phosphorus removal. Certain widely used methods are cited below.

Physico chemical method deals with precipitation, coagulation, and flocculation. Most processes include precipitation, sorption and/or ion exchange mechanisms.

**Chemical Precipitation**

It is one of the most convenient methods that causes dissolved phosphorus to settle out of solution. The insoluble phosphorus containing sediments can be settled, centrifuged, filtered, and separated from the liquid by adding agents known as coagulant by other methods called precipitates. This causes small, suspended matter to form bigger aggregates. After precipitation the liquid part is called supernate. During settling, the precipitate catches ions and particles from solution, which increases efficiency of the method. Compounds of iron, aluminium and calcium are chemicals primarily used in P precipitation such as, ferric chloride, ferrous sulphate, aluminium sulphate (alum), and lime. Further, P removal rates are typically proportional to the mass of chemical added, which impacts the amount of extra solids produced; therefore, there are intrinsic cost-benefits to the amount of salt used and the method of solids separation used. P effluent concentrations of 1 mg/L can be achieved by conventional gravity settling (Burton et al., 2014).

Research suggests that due to several bireactions, the amount of salt required to achieve the desired P removal rate is greater than the stoichiometric ratio and up to double (Whalley et al., 2013) In case of use of iron or aluminium salts in phosphorus removal, insoluble metal phosphates are produced. The formation of these compounds is pH-dependent where pH level strongly affects the degree of insolubility of metal phosphates. If lime is used, special conditions should be fulfilled to ensure the reaction between excess calcium ions and phosphate. This could be done if pH of the solution is not less than 10, so it is important to add sufficient amount of lime. In this process excess amount of sludge are produced, which must be transported to regional sludge management facilities and the environmental cost-benefit of such activities must be analysed.

One benefit of extra sludge production is the opportunity for biogas production through anaerobic digestion. It has been suggested that the use of chemical-rich digestion may limit biogas productivity (Parsons and Smith, 2008).

**Coagulation and flocculation**

This method allows removal of the suspended compounds in colloidal form from wastewater. In the first case polyvalent ions like Fe³⁺ or Al³⁺ are used, while in the second the long-chain polymers are added as the flocculation agents. Polymers’ long-chain molecules can be either positively (cationic) or negatively (anionic) charged or be neutral (non-ionic). Since in wastewater treatment the interactions usually take place between ions and charged particles, the electrical qualities of polymers are very useful. As use of coagulants generates secondary pollutions and due to high cost of reagents, the chemical methods are usually avoided.

**Adsorption method**

In this method phosphorus is absorbed by the sorbent surface. The application of sorption technology is very effective due to its high efficiency of adsorption and does not produce sludge (Ashekuzzaman, S. and Jiang, 2014). The P sorbent mainly have high porosity, high internal surfaces and high content of Al, Fe, Ca, and Mg or have locations suitable for P anion exchange (Jeon, D.J. and Yeom, S.H., 2009). Sorbent can be manufactured of granulated aluminium oxide, activated aluminium oxide, and aluminium sulphate, hydrated titanium dioxide, activated with oxides of Group 3 and Group 4 metals of the periodic system (Zhou, Y.F et al., 2010) with components coated on fibre materials; dolomite proved to have high adsorption ability respective to impurities of phosphorus compounds (with more than 95% of phosphorus removed). Absorptive media are manufactured from either, natural
products (e.g., apatite, bauxite or limestone), industrial waste products (e.g., fly-ash, ochre or steel slag) or man-made products (e.g., Filtralite TM). Some of the sorbents used in the research for P removal include clay minerals (Omar, M. et al., 2016), zeolites (Jiang, C. et al., 2013), Al oxides (Lee, G. et al., 2019), limestone (Mateus, D.M et al., 2012), fly ash (Li, S. et al., 2017), steel slag (Lan, Y. et al., 2006) and biochar (Dai, L. et al., 2017) About 25% of phosphorus in the waste streams can be removed by immobilization on a solid sorbent (Mehta, C.M. et al., 2015). There are several commercially available products of which the most widely studied is Polonite. Renman and Renman (2010) report a phosphate removal rate of 91% when using Polonite to treat municipal wastewater over 1 year with a P-sorption capacity of 120 g/kg. Magnetite has a great potential for phosphorus removal because of its high efficiency, especially at low concentrations of input phosphorus. It was also found that the contact time and sedimentation time of the method is relatively small. Recovering of magnetite is also possible but the process of reuse needs additional renovation stage.

**Ion Exchange method**

In this method the ion exchange resins are an important group of ion exchangers that are used to remove cationic and anionic pollutants from wastewater. Anion exchange resins with positive charge are used to remove phosphate from aqueous solution. (Blaney, L.M. 2007; Johir, M. et al., 2011; Awual, R. et al., 2011).

The performance of ion exchanger is governed upon the valence and weight of the ion in use. The more advance research has improved efficiency through pre-treatment of ion exchange media, for example to improve selectivity for phosphorus ions, the ferric oxide and aluminium hydroxide are used which results 80 to 90% of P-removal. (Martin et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2013). Ion exchange systems have the advantage of delivering P-recovery through post-treatment of the sorption media (Martin et al., 2009). High P removal is achieved in small scale at laboratory level, whereas at full scale the implementation of this technique is expensive for the recovery of P and the sensitivity of some media to pH conditions (Zhao and Sengupta, 1998; Sendrowski and Boyer, 2013). However, the research of Seo et al., (2013) suggested that certain ion exchange materials offer potential, with the addition of a single chemical solution required for regeneration of the media.

**Magnetic Field method**

In the method to remove phosphates in a magnetic field, phosphates are bound with a reagent in insoluble compounds, whereupon magnetic material is added to create a magnetic field that isolates phosphate-containing sediment.

**Biological method**

The biological method of phosphorus removal is the first step in the Enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) wastewater treatment plant where concentration of organic compound, potential inhibitor and other pollutants are maximum in comparison to other wastewater treatment process. It is considered to be a cost effective and environmentally sustainable alternative to chemical treatment (Acevedo et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2013).

Enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) is the universally adapted technique of removal of phosphorous by modifying the biological process that incorporates phosphorus in the cellular substance. In this process P can be removed without addition of chemicals. (Blackall LL, et al., 2002; Melia PM, et al., 2017). The strong sides of the method are minimal sludge production and moderate operational cost. Compared to chemical precipitation, the main advantage of EBPR is the absence of metal ions from coagulant in the sludge. EBPR is a process held in the environment that force biomass to consume more phosphorus than generally is needed for growth in the normal conditions (Metcalf and Eddy, 2014). EBPR exploits the capability of certain microorganisms, termed polyphosphate (poly-P) accumulating organisms (PAO), to store large quantities of orthophosphate (ortho-P) intracellularly as poly-P. This P-enriched biomass can be removed from the treated wastewater as surplus sludge and used directly as fertilizer or for recovery of Phosphorus. During EBPR process activated sludge should adapt to the changing anaerobic-aerobic conditions.

Under anaerobic conditions, PAO use energy gained from hydrolysis of poly-P to take up organic substrate (volatile fatty acids (VFAs)) and convert it to storage compounds polyhydroxalkanoates (PHAs), while under subsequent aerobic conditions, they accumulate large amounts of ortho-P released from bacterial cells during degradation of poly-P and respire the previously stored organic substrate. By removing biomass after the aerobic phase, poly-P can be harvested in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). Presence of carbon and phosphate sources at the same time under aerobic conditions has negative effects on phosphorus uptake by microorganisms.

In wastewater, several substances can be used which inhibit biological phosphorus removal process—pharmaceuticals (doxycycline, tetracycline, diclofenac), heavy metals (copper, tin, silver, chromium), salts, H₂S and nanomaterials.

For EPBR the suitable condition requisite for PAO includes,

I. The presence of GAO (Glycogen Accumulating Organisms) metabolizes volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and other carbon compounds which is the common cause of poor performance in EBPR system (Oehmen et al., 2007).

II. Accumulibacter (Candidatus Accumulibacter phosphatis) are considered the prevalent PAO in effective EBPR systems (Zeng et al., 2003; López-Vázquez et al., 2008).

III. The tetrasphera-related Acinetobacteria sp. have also been found in high abundance in well performing P-removal systems and proven to carry out luxury P uptake (Oehmen et al., 2007; Nguyen et al., 2011). However, it is known that
they generally accumulate more complex carbon sources, such as amino acids and proteins under anaerobic conditions as an unidentified substance (Günther et al., 2009).

Candidatus Accumulibacter and Tetradsphaera were both important in all plants and contained together 24–70% of the total Phosphorus. The contribution of Tetradsphaera to the total P-removal was higher than that of Candidatus Accumulibacter (Fernando Eustace Y. et al., 2019). Recent application of EBPR incorporates membrane bioreactors (MBR), granular sludge reactors, and Sequencing batch biofilm reactors (SBRs). Inclusion of EBPR in MBRs, whether SBRs or continuous-flow, has proven successful in achieving high levels of P-removal from municipal wastewater. MBRs offer several advantages including the retention of solids within the reactor, which results in a high mixed liquor suspended solids concentrations without the need for a large system footprint (Ng et al., 2000).

Infiltration-percolation Techniques

According to Mahmoud Bali & Moncef Gueddari (2019) intermittent infiltration-percolation process is capable of oxidizing and decontaminating wastewater. He tried this method to evaluate the capacity of intermittent sand filter in the elimination of orthophosphate from secondary wastewater effluents. This technique can be used as a tertiary treatment for suspended solids, organic matter, and nitrogen. However, it is less efficient concerning the reduction of orthophosphate.

Conclusion

Increasing demand of phosphorus for fertilizer purpose and the requirement of removal of P due to the anthropogenic activities, demands the innovative technique of removal of Phosphorus efficiently from the wastewater in small as well as large scale. Achieving high level of P removal through physico-chemical systems is often expensive. There is not a single fit to all proven technology for P-removal. The P-removal technology can be achieved in expense of higher energy consumption, increased operational complexity and excess maintenance. In small scale domestic wastewater treatment system, application of different physico-chemical methods may attain effective and sustainable. Enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) is a widely used method to decrease P concentration in a full-scale wastewater treatment plant modifying the biological process that incorporates phosphorus in the cellular substance. It is a cost effective and environmentally sustainable alternative to chemical method.

The strong sides of the method are minimal sludge production and moderate operational cost. In comparison to chemical precipitation, the main advantage of EBPR is the absence of metal ions from coagulant in the sludge. In alternate aerobic and anaerobic condition, the Candidatus Accumulibacter and Tetradsphaera are considered as the prevalent PAO in effective EBPR system. The ecological sensitivity of remote water sources and for efficient removal of P from domestic and industrial wastewater at small or large scale, further research, especially reliable technologies that require minimal maintenance are to be invented.
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